



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,513	11/13/2001	Michael Yeung	66329/20690	4770

23380 7590 02/12/2009  
TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP  
1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING  
925 EUCLID AVENUE  
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1414

EXAMINER
----------

PHUNG, LUAT

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2416

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

02/12/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@tuckerellis.com  
christopher.luoma@tuckerellis.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/054,513	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YEUNG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LUAT PHUNG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2416	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LUAT PHUNG (Examiner). (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) John Garred (Applicants' Representative). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 February 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: Proposed amendment to the claims.

Claim(s) discussed: 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art for claim 18 as per last office action.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Amended claim 18 was discussed, specifically with reference to claimed limitation that printing begins upon receiving the end of file indication. Applicant will further amend the claims for consideration of allowance. (It is suggested that Applicants remove the phrase "adapted for" to ensure a positively cited claim limitation).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Luat Phung/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416	
---	--